

**MINUTES OF THE FULL COUNCIL
MONDAY, 14 NOVEMBER 2005**

Councillors Griffith (Chair), Adamou (Deputy Chair), Adje, Aitken, Basu, Bax, Beacham, Bloch, Blanchard, Jean Brown, Bevan, Bull, Canver, Davidson, Davies, Dawson, Diakides, Dobbie, Dodds, Edge, Engert, Featherstone, Floyd, Gilbert, Haley, Hare, Harris, Hillman, Hoban, Rahman Khan, Knight, Winskill, Krokou, Milner, Lister, Makanji, Manheim, Meehan, Millar, Newton, Oatway, Patel, Peacock, E Prescott, Q Prescott, Reith, Reynolds, Rice, Robertson, Simpson and Neil Williams

Apologies Councillor Herbert Brown, Dillon, Santry, Stanton, Sulaiman and Wynne

Also Present: Councillor (none)

MINUTE NO.	SUBJECT/DECISION	ACTION BY
CNCL56.	<p>TO RECEIVE APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE</p> <p>Apologies for lateness and possible non attendance was received from Councillor Stanton due to a School Governor's meeting, for lateness from Councillors Lister and Q. Prescott, and for absence from Councillors Herbie Brown, Dillon, Santry, Sulaiman and Wynne</p>	
CNCL57.	<p>LATE ITEMS OF BUSINESS</p> <p>See items 61, 65 & 67.</p>	
CNCL58.	<p>DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST</p> <p>Members were asked by the Mayor to declare any personal interest in respect of items on the agenda. In accordance with Part 2 of the Members Code of Conduct set out in the Council Constitution, any Member disclosing a personal interest which was also prejudicial would be asked to withdraw from the Chamber during consideration of the item and neither were they to seek to improperly influence a decision on the said item.</p> <p>Councillor Dobbie sought clarification from the Monitoring Officer in respect of the appropriateness of Members declaring an interest in Item 14 – MOTION F given the high number of members who owned and used Mobile phones and subsequently were users of telephone masts. Councillor Bull also sought clarification from the Monitoring Officer in respect of the appropriateness of Councillors Basu, Hoban and himself also declaring an interest given that they were to embark on a review of this matter.</p>	

**MINUTES OF THE FULL COUNCIL
MONDAY, 14 NOVEMBER 2005**

	<p>The Monitoring Officer responded to both that if a member felt their interest was such that a member of the public would consider it to influence their decision, then they should declare.</p> <p>Councillor Hoban declared a personal interest in item 11 on petitions, as he was a resident of Bounds Green.</p>	
<p>CNCL59.</p>	<p>TO APPROVE AS A CORRECT RECORD THE MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE COUNCIL HELD ON 3 OCTOBER 2005</p> <p>Copies of the Minutes having been circulated, they were taken as read.</p> <p>RESOLVED:</p> <p>That the minutes of the meeting of the Council held on 3 October 2005 be signed as a true record.</p>	
<p>CNCL60.</p>	<p>TO RECEIVE SUCH COMMUNICATIONS AS THE MAYOR MAY LAY BEFORE THE COUNCIL</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Mayor advised that former Mayor and Councillor Daisy Cunningham died at home on 18th October. Daisy Cunningham was a Councillor for over 10 years serving in Seven Sisters and Coleraine Ward. She attended the 40th Anniversary celebration in April 2005, and was reunited with many of her former colleagues and friends, who remembered her with great affection. <p>The Council meeting observed one minute's silence in memory of the former Mayor and Councillor Daisy Cunningham.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. The Mayor was delighted to inform Council that Councillor Brian Haley had been awarded the Honorary Fellowship of the Chartered Institute of Waste Management. <p>This was in recognition of Councillor Haley's interest and involvement in matters relating to the protection of the environment and in particular the waste management industry. The Mayor passed on the Council's congratulations to Councillor Haley on this unique honour.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 3. The Mayor thanked all those who attended the Remembrance Sunday Services which took place on Sunday 13 November 2005 in Wood Green, Hornsey, Tottenham and Alexandra Park Road. The mayor commented that it had been particularly heartening to see so many young people joining in the act of Remembrance. 4. The Mayor reminded members that tickets were now on sale for the Fundraising Karaoke Evening on 2 December at New River Sports Centre. The Mayor advised that Councillor 	

**MINUTES OF THE FULL COUNCIL
MONDAY, 14 NOVEMBER 2005**

	<p>Gideon Bull would be hosting the event in aid of Prostate Cancer, and urged all members to support this event.</p> <p>5. On behalf of the Council and the people of Haringey the Mayor proposed a vote of thanks to the out-going Borough Police Commander Steve Bloomfield for his dedication and services to this borough.</p> <p>The Mayor wished Ch. Supt. Bloomfield all the best for the future and hope that he will remember us in his new posting at Scotland Yard.</p> <p>The Leader also commented on the close working relationship that Ch. Supt. Bloomfield had had with the Borough and the work that he had been involved in to combat crime and promote safer neighbourhoods. The Leader commented that as a result of successful implementation of the safer neighbourhood work within Haringey the Commissioner had recalled Ch. Supt. Bloomfield to New Scotland Yard in order to promote this success across London and that Ch. Supt. Bloomfield should be applauded for his efforts in ensuring the successful outcome of the initiative.</p>	
<p>CNCL61.</p>	<p>TO RECEIVE THE REPORT OF THE SPECIAL COMMITTEE UNDER PART K2 OF CONSTITUTION ON THE APPOINTMENT OF DR. ITA O'DONOVAN AS CHIEF EXECUTIVE</p> <p>The Mayor agreed to admit the report as urgent business. The report dealt with matters considered by the Special Committee on 2 November 2005 and this decision required ratification of the Council in terms of its decision.</p> <p>RESOLVED:</p> <p>That Dr Ita O'Donovan be appointed as Chief Executive and Head of Paid Service and that confirmation be given of this appointment as a permanent employment contract for the post of Chief Executive.</p>	
<p>CNCL62.</p>	<p>TO RECEIVE A STATEMENT BY THE LEADER OF THE COUNCIL</p> <p>RESOLVED:</p> <p>That the statement by the Leader of the Council in respect of the appointment of the Chief Executive – Dr Ita O'Donovan, the splendid firework display Alexandra Palace on 5 November and use of legislation to promote firework safety be noted. The Leader of the Opposition spoke in response.</p>	

**MINUTES OF THE FULL COUNCIL
MONDAY, 14 NOVEMBER 2005**

<p>CNCL63.</p>	<p>TO RECEIVE THE REPORT OF THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE</p> <p>There were no matters to report.</p>																																		
<p>CNCL64.</p>	<p>TO RECEIVE THE REPORT OF THE MONITORING OFFICER</p> <p>There were no matters to report.</p>																																		
<p>CNCL65.</p>	<p>TO MAKE APPOINTMENTS TO OUTSIDE BODIES</p> <p>The Mayor agreed to admit the report as urgent business. The report dealt with matters considered at Party Group meetings within the last few days. These required approval to permit appointments to outside bodies to be made.</p> <p>RESOLVED:</p> <p>That the appointment of Councillor Peacock to the vacancy detailed below, be approved.</p> <table border="0" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 30%;">The Trust</td> <td style="width: 30%;"></td> <td style="width: 30%; text-align: right;">Category: Trusts</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Selby Trust</td> <td style="text-align: center;">3</td> <td style="text-align: right;"><u>Term of Office:</u> 4</td> </tr> <tr> <td>year (s)</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>14/str</td> <td style="text-align: center;">3 Lab.</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Granted Aid: Yes</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td><u>Representative (s) / Expiry</u></td> <td style="text-align: center;">Cllr</td> <td style="text-align: right;">L</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 20px;">Santry</td> <td style="text-align: center;">31/05/2009</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td style="text-align: center;">Cllr Peacock</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td style="text-align: center;">31/05/2009</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td style="text-align: center;">vacant</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td style="text-align: center;">23/09/2005</td> <td></td> </tr> </table>	The Trust		Category: Trusts	Selby Trust	3	<u>Term of Office:</u> 4	year (s)			14/str	3 Lab.		Granted Aid: Yes			<u>Representative (s) / Expiry</u>	Cllr	L	Santry	31/05/2009			Cllr Peacock			31/05/2009			vacant			23/09/2005		
The Trust		Category: Trusts																																	
Selby Trust	3	<u>Term of Office:</u> 4																																	
year (s)																																			
14/str	3 Lab.																																		
Granted Aid: Yes																																			
<u>Representative (s) / Expiry</u>	Cllr	L																																	
Santry	31/05/2009																																		
	Cllr Peacock																																		
	31/05/2009																																		
	vacant																																		
	23/09/2005																																		
<p>CNCL66.</p>	<p>TO CONSIDER REQUESTS TO RECEIVE DEPUTATIONS AND/OR PETITIONS AND, IF APPROVED, TO RECEIVE THEM</p> <p>There were no deputations. A petition was submitted on behalf of the Passmore Edwards Neighbourhood Watch in support of a CPZ for the area. Members asked questions of the petitioners and the answers were duly noted</p>																																		
<p>CNCL67.</p>	<p>TO ANSWER QUESTIONS, IF ANY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH COUNCIL RULES OF PROCEDURE NOS 9 AND 10</p> <p>The Mayor agreed to the admission of this report as urgent business. Under Standing Orders, notice of questions was not requested until five clear days before the meeting, following which matters raised had to be researched and replies prepared, in</p>																																		

**MINUTES OF THE FULL COUNCIL
MONDAY, 14 NOVEMBER 2005**

	<p>order to be given at the meeting.</p> <p>There were 10 oral questions and 16 for written answer. Oral Questions 7-10 were not reached in the allotted time and written answers were supplied to these questions.</p>	
<p>CNCL68.</p>	<p>TO RECEIVE REPORTS FROM THE FOLLOWING BODIES:</p> <p>Councillor Rice requested that the process for appointment of Independent Members of the Standards Committee be reported to Council.</p> <p>RESOLVED:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. That reports 7, 8 and 9 /2005-6 of the Executive be received and adopted; 2. That report 2 of the General Purposes Committee be received; 3. That the recommendation of the General Purposes Committee of 24 October 2005 in respect of amendments to Council Standing Orders on Deputations, petitions and other matters, and amendments to the Constitution on delegated powers and urgency be adopted as Part E8 and F7 of the Council's Constitution. <p>That a report be considered by Council on the proposed process for the appointment of Independent Members of the Standards Committee.</p>	
<p>CNCL69.</p>	<p>TO CONSIDER THE FOLLOWING MOTIONS IN ACCORDANCE WITH COUNCIL RULES OF PROCEDURE NO 13</p> <p>It was moved by Councillor Hoban and seconded by Councillor Williams that:</p> <p>“This Council notes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Haringey residents' public health concerns about mobile phone masts, with particular concern about the siting of masts near to schools, hospitals and residential properties. • Legislation is weighted in favour of mobile phone companies and Haringey Council currently has little power to act on our residents' concerns • Masts below 15m are exempt from planning permission <p>This Council believes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More national research is required into the potential health risks of mobile phone masts 	

**MINUTES OF THE FULL COUNCIL
MONDAY, 14 NOVEMBER 2005**

- The 'precautionary principle' should apply and Councils like Haringey should be able to reject mast applications on health grounds
- Mobile phone companies should be required to make a full planning application for masts of any size and should always be required to provide a statement of the intensity and direction of the signal

This Council resolves:

- To write to Haringey's two Members of Parliament requesting they lobby Ministers for a moratorium on mast sites near to schools, hospitals and residential properties
- To ask our Members of Parliament to support any Bills in Parliament which would mean safer siting of phone masts, including giving Councils clear authority to reject mast applications on local public health grounds
- To write to all other London Boroughs to ask for their support in using the 'precautionary principle'

An amendment to the motion was MOVED by Councillor Bull, and seconded by Councillor Lister proposing :

To delete all after the third bullet point "Masts below 15m are exempt from planning permission" and insert the following:

- "The Council has established a Scrutiny Review on Mobile Phone masts to identify residents' concerns and produce recommendations designed to address them at the earliest possible opportunity and looks forward to its full report.

This Council believes:

- More national research is required into the potential health risks of mobile phone masts.
- Councils like Haringey should be able to take health concerns into account when considering grounds for rejecting mobile phone mast applications.
- Mobile phone companies should be required to make a full planning application for masts of any size and should always be required to provide a statement of the intensity and direction of the signal.

This Council resolves:

- To write to Haringey's two Members of Parliament requesting they lobby Ministers for a moratorium on mast sites near to schools, hospitals and residential properties

**MINUTES OF THE FULL COUNCIL
MONDAY, 14 NOVEMBER 2005**

- To ask our Members of Parliament to support any Bills in Parliament which would mean safer siting of phone masts, including giving Councils clear authority to reject mast applications on local public health grounds
- To write to all other London boroughs to ask for their support in pushing for health concerns to be relevant”.

The Amendment was then put to the meeting and declared CARRIED.

The substantive Motion was then put to the meeting and unanimously declared CARRIED.

69.

MOTION G (2005/06):

It was moved by Councillor Meehan and seconded by Councillor Jean Brown that:

“This Council welcomes the government’s determination to further improve the quality of education for children in England, set out in the Government’s recently-published Education White Paper.

Like the Government, this Council believes in education as one of the most important means through which we lessen and eradicate the injustices which still disfigure our society, and with which we create a society where power, wealth and opportunity are in the hands of the many not the few.

This Council takes immense pride in the ever-improving educational attainment of the Borough’s children, supported by their teachers, parents, headteachers, and governors.

This Council welcomes several aspects of the Education White Paper, in particular:

- the extension of school/parent contracts;
- tough new nutritional standards for school food ;
- the abolition of the Schools Organization Committee;
- the power to act as a champion for the interests of children and parents in schools across the borough;
- the introduction of new measures to assist in maintaining school discipline and to manage exclusions;
- more funding for bilingual learners and other minority groups subject to underachievement;
- better provision for Looked After Children.

However, this Council is also concerned about a number of the proposals in the White Paper.

In particular, this Council is concerned by:

**MINUTES OF THE FULL COUNCIL
MONDAY, 14 NOVEMBER 2005**

- the market-based model of provision that runs through the White Paper, and the rigid split between purchaser and provider, which characterized so many of the last Tory government's unsuccessful reforms of public services, in particular the GP fundholder and railway privatization schemes;
- the difficulties of delivering a fair admissions policy across the Borough if schools need only consider, not abide by, local admissions' policy, and the difficulty of planning effectively, over any period of time, the provision of school places across the borough when "successful" schools are encouraged to expand and new providers are being encouraged to enter the market;
- the implications for our agenda of tackling, lessening, and eradicating inequality when inner city schools with little or no valuable disposable land, like most schools in Haringey, will suffer financially relative to suburban schools, which are more likely to have surplus land and thus the potential to make capital gains for themselves out of assets originally accrued by public funding;
- the implications for the pay and conditions for school staff and for their unions if individual schools have greater freedom to set pay terms and conditions;
- the particular difficulties likely to be encountered in ethnically diverse inner city areas, like Haringey, without a strong tradition of civic involvement in education, in finding sufficient and sufficiently experienced governors to make an effective reality of parent-led governance, particularly given the number of parents for whom English is not a first language;
- the fact that special schools are not yet included in these provisions.

This Council is aware that many of these concerns are shared by other local authorities across London and across England, aware also that a White Paper is an intention to legislate and not legislation itself, and further aware that there is accordingly an opportunity for us to work with others towards fruitful discussion and consultation with a government that shares our values and our commitments to educational excellence and to equality.

This Council therefore instructs the Executive Member for Children & Young People to prepare a full response to the White Paper, reflecting our concerns, to be agreed by the Executive".

An amendment to the motion was **MOVED** by Councillor Engert, and seconded by Councillor Aitken proposing :

Delete all after "This Council" and replace with:

**MINUTES OF THE FULL COUNCIL
MONDAY, 14 NOVEMBER 2005**

"recognises the disappointment of key stakeholders over the government's recent white paper on education.

This Council believes in education as one of the most important means through which we lessen and eradicate the injustices which still disfigure our society, and with which we create a society where power, wealth and opportunity are in the hands of the many not the few. This Council believes this White Paper would do little to contribute to these objectives.

The Council accepts the need for the government to address the failings within the education system. However the Council is concerned that this white paper shows little understanding of the issues that concern teachers, parents and local authorities and creates many more problems than it solves.

In particular, this Council is concerned by:

- the market-based model of provision that runs through the White Paper, and the rigid split between purchaser and provider, which characterized so many of the last Tory government's unsuccessful reforms of public services, in particular the GP fundholder and railway privatization schemes;
- the difficulties of delivering a fair admissions policy across the Borough if schools need only consider, not abide by, local admissions' policy, and the difficulty of planning effectively, over any period of time, the provision of school places across the borough when "successful" schools are encouraged to expand and new providers are being encouraged to enter the market;
- that giving autonomy to schools over their assets could lead to the disposal for commercial development of land originally accrued by public funding for use as playing fields, leading to the irrevocable loss of such important facilities. This would also have implications for our agenda in Haringey of tackling, lessening, and eradicating inequality as most of our inner city schools have little or no valuable disposable land, so are put at a funding disadvantage;
- the implications for the pay and conditions for school staff and for their unions if individual schools have greater freedom to set pay terms and conditions;
- the particular difficulties likely to be encountered in ethnically diverse inner city areas, like Haringey, without a strong tradition of civic involvement in education, in finding sufficient and sufficiently experienced governors to make

**MINUTES OF THE FULL COUNCIL
MONDAY, 14 NOVEMBER 2005**

an effective reality of parent-led governance, particularly given the number of parents for whom English is not a first language;

- the fact that special schools are not yet included in these provisions.

Therefore the Council resolves:

To instruct the Executive Member for Children & Young People to prepare a full response to the white paper in full consultation with all key stakeholders including teachers, parent groups and young people to be approved by Full Council

To call on our local MPs to lobby the government to seriously reconsider the implementation of this unpopular white paper."

The Amendment was then put to the meeting and declared LOST.

The substantive Motion was then put to the meeting and declared CARRIED.

70.

MOTION H (2005/06):

It was moved by Councillor Hillman and seconded by Councillor Haley that:

"This Council recognizes the importance of recycling as part of its commitment to green and sustainable future for the borough and its people.

This Council congratulates the officers responsible for the success of our recycling projects and emphasizes its achievement so far and its continuing vision, as a Labour council committed to improving and sustaining our environment, of increasing the scope, volume, availability, quality and level of participation in recycling schemes in the borough.

Not only are the volumes recycled increasing, but the number of households participating is rising month by month as availability of the schemes is increased. Over half of all households in Haringey are now participating regularly in the borough's recycling scheme, up sharply from figures of approximately 30% for the previous year.

The weekly frequency of recycling collections in Haringey is especially marked, by contrast with many other London Boroughs where recycling collections are often only fortnightly or alternate with refuse collections. The scope of our scheme is also impressive, with not just paper and metals but glass,

**MINUTES OF THE FULL COUNCIL
MONDAY, 14 NOVEMBER 2005**

plastics, cloth, green waste and now kitchen waste collected. Approximately one third of households have kerbside plastics recycling, and the current expansion of the recycling service to cover the composting of green waste and uncooked kitchen waste substantially increases the proportion of people's waste that the borough can recycle. The composting initiative now reaches some 50,000 households, more than half the households in the borough.

These figures are of course important and significant, but it is especially praiseworthy that they have been achieved alongside improving the quality of our service to residents, with the proportion of residents rating the recycling service as 'good' or 'excellent' soaring to 58% in the most recent survey".

A named vote was requested.

For: The Mayor (Councillor Griffith), the Deputy Mayor (Councillor Adamou), Councillors Adje, Basu, Bax, Bevan, J Brown, Bull, Canver, Davidson, Dawson, Diakides, Dobbie, Haley, Harris, Hillman, Khan, Krokou, Lister, Manheim, Meehan, Millar, Patel, Peacock, E. Prescott, Q. Prescott, Reith, Reynolds, Rice, Robertson,.

Against: Nil

Abstentions: **Councillors Aitken, Beacham, Davies, Edge, Engert, Floyd, Hare, Hoban, Newton, Oatway, Simpson and Williams.**

The Motion was declared CARRIED.

COUNCILLOR CHARLES ADJE

Chair